

## REMARKS

Appreciation is expressed to the Examiner for the telephone interview granted by the Examiner on January 14, 2008. In the telephone interview applicants' attorney explained that column 3 line 20 of Hammer did not teach the time measurement features of the claims. The Examiner indicated that he agreed with this position and that the claims should overcome the prior art. However, the Examiner indicated that he will re-check the prior art to determine whether there is any other prior art with the claimed time measuring feature. The Examiner indicated he would telephone the undersigned if he located prior art showing this feature.

At the interview, the undersigned asked the Examiner whether he had any concern about the "configured to" language in the claims. The Examiner indicated that the proposed amended language in the Amendment under 37 CFR Section 1.116 filed, January 8, 2008 was essentially the same as the "configured to" language in claims 1, 7, and 11. In view of the Examiner's comments, the undersigned proposed that a Supplemental Amendment be filed to return the claim language to its prior state. Accordingly, this Supplemental Amendment is submitted to place claims 1, 7, and 11 in the same state they were in prior to the January 8, 2008 Amendment.

If any questions remain after the Examiner has reviewed the Rule116 Amendment and this Supplemental Amendment it is requested that the undersigned be contacted at the telephone number indicated below.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 1-25-08

By: 

John C. Garvey  
Registration No. 28,607

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

S&H Form: (02/05)